Comisión Interamericana del Atún Tropical Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission



DEVELOPING FISHERY DEFINITIONS FOR THE SKIPJACK TUNA STOCK ASSESSMENT IN THE EPO Mark N. Maunder, Haikun Xu, and Cleridy E. Lennert-Cody

1st External Review of IATTC staff's stock assessment of skipjack tuna in the eastern Pacific Ocean 07-10 November 2022 – La Jolla CA, USA

Outline

- Motivation
 - Areas as fisheries
- Analysis
 - Data
 - Analysis
- Results
- Issues
- Summary



Motivation – Areas as fisheries

- Spatial structure
 - Length-composition varies by area
 - Little information for EPO SKJ to define spatial structure and movement
 - Consider seasonal movement
- Areas as fisheries
 - Approximates spatial structure
 - Use for YFT and BET
 - Haikun's simulations suggest in combination with area weighting of CPUE it can perform well



Motivation – Areas as fisheries

- Tradeoffs
 - More fisheries
 - Better represent age of removals
 - Less fisheries
 - Does not represent sampling variability
 - Makes stock assessment practical
 - Avoids small areas with low sample sizes to construct catch and comp data
- Beneficial to have same areas for all fisheries
- No quantitative criteria to choose the number of fisheries
- Subjective choice to balance tradeoffs



Fishery definitions – SAC-13 INF-I

- Data
 - Length-composition data from Class-6 purse-seine vessel
 - Floating objects (OBJ) and on unassociated schools (NOA)
- Analysis
 - Regression tree methods
 - Latitude, longitude, quarter, cyclic quarter, year
 - Divided by mean composition for year-quarter to reduce recruitment effect
 - Compromise between explaining data and number of fisheries
- Results
 - First split -120 for both set types
 - Other splits differ
 - 3 splits, 4 fishery



OBJ.2000.Std.noYear					
	Кеу	Value	Cell	Var_explaine	ed
Split1	Lon	120°W	NA	5.82%	
Split2	Lat	10°S	2	7.63%	
Split3	Lon	100°W	3	8.84%	
Split4	Lat	5°S	3	9.56%	
Split5	Lon	80°W	2	9.99%	



















Length-Talla

NOA.2000.Std.noYear				
	Кеу	Value	Cell	Var_explained
Split1	Lon	120°W	NA	6.45%
Split2	Lon	85°W	2	9.63%
Split3	Lat	20°N	2	14.14%
Split4	Lat	0°	4	15.79%
Split5	CQrt	134;2	2	16.36%



















Issues: multiple values supported





Issues: OBJ and NOA 2+ splits differ

• Using the OBJ splits for the NOA data degraded the percentage of variation explained for that set type



Issues: Data type can create different splits

- Data type
 - Well
 - Aggregated 5x5
 - Standardized over year
- Including Year
 - Often selected
 - When using standardized either the same split or later



OBJ







Fishery definitions – SAC-13 INF-I

- DEL
 - Low catch levels
 - Two areas: north and south of the equator
- LL
 - Low catch levels
 - One area: whole EPO



Summary

- First split -120 for both set types
- Other splits differ
- 3 splits, 4 fishery
- Issues
 - Similar support for different splits
 - Data type can influence splits
 - 1990-1999 differs

- Research to include more flexible irregular areas
- Area 3 (North coastal) NOA is small





Questions

