

INTER-AMERICAN TROPICAL TUNA COMMISSION

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

NINTH MEETING

La Jolla, California (USA)

14-18 May 2018

DOCUMENT SAC-09-02b

UNFUNDED RESEARCH PROJECTS

CONTENTS

Introduction ..... 1

**A. Outline of the IATTC Strategic Science Plan .....2**

**B. Unfunded projects, by theme .....6**

1. Data collection for scientific support of management ..... 6

2. Life-history studies for scientific support of management ..... 10

3. Sustainable fisheries ..... 14

4. Ecological impacts of fisheries: assessment and mitigation..... 19

5. Interactions among the environment, the ecosystem, and fisheries..... 23

6. Knowledge transfer and capacity building ..... 27

7. Scientific excellence..... 27

**INTRODUCTION**

At its 8<sup>th</sup> meeting in May 2017, the Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC) made the following recommendation to the Commission:

*“The SAC recommends that the scientific staff prepare a strategic science plan for the 2018-2022 period, which includes clear objectives, specific priorities, strategies, actions, responsibilities, and resources, including a tentative budget.”*

In accordance with this recommendation, the staff has developed a Strategic Science Plan (SSP), which establishes research goals, activities, and priorities for the 2019-2023 period. In the plan, the staff’s activities are classified into seven main areas, called *Themes*:

1. Data collection
2. Life-history studies for scientific support of management
3. Sustainable fisheries
4. Ecological impacts of fishing: assessment and mitigation
5. Interactions among the environment, ecosystem, and fisheries
6. Knowledge transfer and capacity building
7. Scientific excellence

Each *Theme* is divided into goals and objectives (*Goals*), and the work that will be carried out to achieve a particular goal or objective within the plan’s five-year window is called a *Target*. Not specified in the SSP is the staff’s concrete work plan, and the current and planned activities (called *Projects*) that will achieve these strategic goals; they are elaborated in this document.

The general *Themes*, and the more specific *Goals*, reflect what the staff considers to be its primary

responsibilities, and form a permanent part of the five-year SSP. Whether any *Projects* are undertaken under a particular *Goal* or *Target* in any given period will depend on the staff's research priorities, the human, logistic, and financial resources available, and any specific instructions from the Commission.

Research projects that are funded and under way are included in Document [SAC-09-02](#); this document contains details of projects that the staff considers important, but lacks the resources, human, technical, or financial, to undertake.

## **A. OUTLINE OF THE IATTC STRATEGIC SCIENCE PLAN**

This section lists the *Goals* (A-Y) and *Targets* (A.1, A.2, etc.) corresponding to each of the SSP's seven *Themes*; for details of each individual *Project*, see the relevant section of the document.

### **1. DATA COLLECTION FOR SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT OF MANAGEMENT**

#### **Goal A: Database maintenance, preservation, and access**

- A.1. Routine work
- A.2. Improve internal documentation
- A.3. Standardize and automate data submissions

#### **Goal B: Conduct a review of current IATTC/AIDCP data collection programs, identify and prioritize opportunities to improve data quality and expand data types and coverage**

- B.1. Evaluate and improve data collected by the purse-seine On-Board Observer Program for scientific research
- B.2. Expand on-board data collection to small purse seiners
- B.3. Evaluate and improve the port sampling data collection program
- B.4. Develop and implement a long-term life-history data collection program to support scientific research for stock assessment and management

#### **Goal C: Facilitate the improvement of data quality, coverage, and reporting by CPC data collection programs**

- C.1. Purse-seine fleet
- C.2. Longline fisheries
- C.3. At-sea transshipments
- C.4. Artisanal fisheries (coastal developing CPCs)
- C.5. Other fisheries

#### **Goal D: Investigate the use of new technologies to improve data quality**

- D.1. Evaluate the functionality of electronic data collection and reporting systems
- D.2. Evaluate the feasibility of implementing on-board electronic monitoring (EM) systems for data collection purposes

### **2. LIFE-HISTORY STUDIES FOR SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT OF MANAGEMENT**

#### **Goal E: Obtain life history and stock structure information for spatially-structured stock assessments for tropical tunas**

- E.1. Initiate a long-term age and growth data collection and research program for tropical tunas
- E.2. Conduct spatiotemporal research on the reproductive biology of tropical tunas
- E.3. Analyze historical tagging data to improve the assumptions about movement and stock structure in spatially-structured stock assessments of tropical tunas
- E.4. Initiate a multi-year tagging program for tropical tunas
- E.5. Conduct genetic studies to improve the assumptions about life history and stock structure in stock assessments of tropical tunas

**Goal F: Obtain key life history information for assessment and mitigation of ecological impacts on prioritized species**

- F.1. Conduct life-history studies of dolphins under the AIDCP
- F.2. Conduct life-history studies of shark species
  - F.2.a. Investigate the movements, behavior, and habitat utilization of silky sharks in the EPO
- F.3. Conduct life-history studies of prioritized species

**Goal G: Investigate the early life history of tunas to improve understanding of recruitment processes to improve assessments and management**

- G.1. Investigate the effects of density dependence and the environment on the pre-recruit survival of yellowfin tuna
- G.2. Conduct comparative studies of the early life histories of yellowfin and Pacific bluefin tunas
- G.3. Develop tools to forecast recruitment

**3. SUSTAINABLE FISHERIES**

**Goal H: Improve and implement stock assessments, based on the best available science**

- H.1. Undertake the research necessary to develop and conduct at least one benchmark stock assessment for yellowfin and bigeye tunas
- H.2. Develop a spatially-structured stock assessment model for bigeye tuna as a basis for management advice, and initiate a similar model for yellowfin tunas
- H.3. Develop a benchmark stock assessment for skipjack tuna (conditional on implementation of tagging program)
- H.4. Develop update assessment and/or stock status indicators for tropical tunas to ensure that management advice is current
- H.5. Undertake the research necessary to develop and conduct data-limited assessments for prioritized species
- H.6. Maintain active participation in ISC stock assessments
- H.7. Develop conventional stock assessments for data-rich prioritized species and species of specific interest
- H.8. Assess the status of dolphin stocks in the eastern tropical Pacific

**Goal I: Test harvest strategies using Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)**

- I.1. Conduct a comprehensive MSE for bigeye tuna and plan MSEs for the other tropical tuna species, including the multi-species fishery for tropical tunas
- I.2. Collaborate with ISC in Pacific-wide MSEs for albacore and Pacific bluefin tunas
- I.3. Initiate MSE work to evaluate indicator-based harvest strategies for prioritized species and species of specific interest

**Goal J: Improve our understanding of the effects of the operational characteristics of the fishery on fishing mortality, stock assessments, and management advice**

- J.1. Identify and monitor changes in technology and fishing strategies to improve stock assessments and management advice
- J.2. Improve our understanding of the relationship between the operational characteristics of the purse-seine fishery and fishing mortality
- J.3. Study the impact of FAD operations on fishing mortality to improve FAD management advice

**Goal K: Improve our understanding of the socio-economic aspects of sustainable fisheries for tropical tunas**

- K.1. Collaborate in socio-economic studies by other organizations

#### **4. ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF FISHERIES: ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION**

##### **Goal L: Evaluate the ecological impacts of tuna fisheries**

- L.1. Develop analytical tools to identify and prioritize species at risk for data collection, research and management
- L.2. Conduct ERAs of EPO fisheries to identify and prioritize species at risk

##### **Goal M: Mitigate the ecological impacts of tuna fisheries**

- M.1. In collaboration with the industry, conduct scientific experiments to identify gear technology that will reduce bycatches and mortality of prioritized species
- M.2. In collaboration with the industry, conduct scientific experiments to develop best practices for the release of prioritized bycatch species
- M.3. Conduct spatiotemporal analyses to identify areas of high bycatch/catch ratios for potential use in spatial management
- M.4. Investigate alternative tools for bycatch mitigation
- M.5. In collaboration with the industry, conduct experiments to develop best practices for mitigating the impacts of fishing on habitats in the EPO

##### **Goal N: Improve our understanding of the interactions among environmental drivers, climate, and fisheries**

- N.1. Conduct spatiotemporal analyses to better understand the effect of key environmental drivers on the short-term fluctuations of abundance of tunas and prioritized bycatch species
- N.2. Conduct spatiotemporal analyses to better understand the effect of long-term climate drivers (regime shifts) on the abundance of tropical tunas

##### **Goal O: Improve our understanding of the EPO ecosystem**

- O.1. Conduct trophodynamic studies for defining key assumptions in EPO ecosystem models
- O.2. Improve analytical tools to evaluate anthropogenic and climate impacts on the EPO ecosystem

#### **5. KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER AND CAPACITY BUILDING**

##### **Goal P: Respond in a timely manner to external requests for information and technical support**

- P.1. Respond to requests by CPCs
- P.2. Respond to requests from other organizations

##### **Goal Q: Provide training opportunities for scientists and technicians of CPCs**

- Q.1. Host visiting scientists and students from CPCs
- Q.2. Implement the IATTC capacity-building scholarship
- Q.3. Facilitate training workshops

##### **Goal R: Improve communication of scientific advice**

- R.1. Improve communication of the staff's scientific work to CPCs
- R.2. Participate in global initiatives for the communication of science

##### **Goal S: Facilitate participation of CPCs in the scientific process and in training events**

- S.1. Improve communication and coordination with the Scientific Advisory Committee and scientific and technical working groups
- S.2. Facilitate participation of scientific and technical personnel from developing CPCs at IATTC scientific meetings and training events (IATTC capacity building fund)

#### **6. SCIENTIFIC EXCELLENCE**

##### **Goal T: Implement external reviews of the staff's research**

- T.1. Facilitate external reviews of stock assessments
- T.2. Facilitate external reviews of scientific studies

**Goal U. Strengthen research at the Ashotines Laboratory**

**Goal V. Recruit and retain highly-qualified personnel**

**Goal W. Promote training and advancement of scientific staff**

**Goal X. Promote the advancement of scientific research**

X.1. Continue the annual CAPAM workshops

## **B. UNFUNDED PROJECTS, BY THEME**

### **1. DATA COLLECTION FOR SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT OF MANAGEMENT**

#### **Goal A: Database maintenance, preservation, and access**

- A.1. Routine work
- A.2. Improve internal documentation
- A.3. Standardize and automate data submissions

#### **Goal B: Conduct a review of current IATTC/AIDCP data collection programs, identify and prioritize opportunities to improve data quality and expand data types and coverage**

- B.1. Evaluate and improve data collected by the purse-seine On-Board Observer Program for scientific research
- B.2. Expand on-board data collection to small purse seiners
- B.3. Evaluate and improve the port sampling data collection program
- B.4. Develop and implement a long-term life-history data collection program to support scientific research for stock assessment and management

#### **Goal C: Facilitate the improvement of data quality, coverage, and reporting by CPC data collection programs**

- C.1. Purse-seine fleet
  - C.1.a. **(PROPOSAL)** Develop an effective and reliable floating-object marking scheme to assist scientific advance
- C.2. Longline fisheries
- C.3. At-sea transshipments
- C.4. Artisanal fisheries (coastal developing CPCs)
  - C.4.b. **(PROPOSAL)** Long-term sampling program for shark catches of artisanal fisheries in Central America
- C.5. Other fisheries

#### **Goal D. Investigate the use of new technologies to improve data quality**

- D.1. Evaluate the functionality of electronic data collection and reporting systems
- D.2. Evaluate the feasibility of implementing on-board electronic monitoring (EM) systems for data collection purposes
  - D.2.c. **(PROPOSAL)** Pilot study of electronic monitoring (EM) of the activities and catches of Class 6 purse-seine vessels

<b>PROJECT C.1.a:</b> Develop an effective and reliable floating-object marking scheme to assist scientific advance		
<b>THEME:</b> 1. Data Collection		
<b>GOAL:</b> C. Improve quality and expand coverage of data-collection programs		
<b>TARGET:</b> C.1. Purse-seine fleet		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Bycatch and IDCP Program & Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Establish a robust and reliable marking scheme to accurately identify and track floating objects throughout their lifetime	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current FAD data collection forms and procedures at sea are inadequate to properly mark, identify and track floating objects throughout their lifetime.</li> <li>• This is impeding scientific progress in many fields (<i>e.g.</i> ecological impacts, operational characteristics and effort, stock assessment).</li> <li>• All tuna RFMOs, and other international organizations like FAO and United Nations, recognize the need for floating objects an efficient and reliable marking scheme for all fishing gears, including FOBs.</li> <li>• Very little progress has been made in this area worldwide.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	An adequate scheme for marking and identifying floating objects would help refine analyses to develop recommendations for managing tropical tunas in the EPO.	
<b>Duration</b>	18 months	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• [M 1-3] Define various floating-object marking prototypes.</li> <li>• [M 3-4] Discuss options with stakeholders, fishing industry, observers and captains in a dedicated workshop and adopt the best prototype for testing.</li> <li>• [M 6/8-12/14] Obtain materials and conduct sea trials with a sample of (ideally all) the fleet and a sample of their floating objects.</li> <li>• [M 12/14-16] Analyze data and feedback from observers and captains.</li> <li>• [M 16-18] Make improvements to the marking system and develop recommendations where necessary.</li> <li>• [M 16-18] Prepare for modifications or potential implementation and, likely, for a second stage that considers a web-based floating-object registration database.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Fishing industry, technology companies	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proposal on an efficient and reliable floating-object marking scheme and a summary of pros and cons of all the methodologies considered.</li> <li>• Reports and documents for the WG on FADs, the SAC and the Commission, including recommendations to improve data quality and collection and best marking options.</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Regional workshop	30,000
	Technician for field office (12 months)	25,000
	Material for prototypes (2000 marks + materials + shipping)	40,000
	Travel	7,500
	<b>Total</b>	<b>102,500</b>

<b>PROJECT C.4.b:</b> Long-term sampling program for shark catches of artisanal fisheries in Central America		
<b>THEME:</b> 1. Data Collection		
<b>GOAL:</b> C. Improve quality and expand coverage of data-collection programs		
<b>TARGET:</b> C.4. Artisanal longline fleet		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Establish a long-term monitoring program for shark catches by artisanal fisheries (longline, gillnet) in Central America.	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assessment modelling for shark species in the EPO is severely hampered by a lack of reliable data on shark catches.</li> <li>• Previous work by IATTC staff has identified specific data gaps and data collection needs, including the critical need for catch data from Central American artisanal fisheries, which generate a large fraction of the EPO catches of sharks.</li> <li>• The current ABNJ-GEF-funded project on developing sampling designs for artisanal fisheries in Central America will be completed in 2019.</li> <li>• No funding is available to implement long-term monitoring based on these sampling designs.</li> <li>• Without data provided by a long-term sampling program of Central American artisanal fisheries, the IATTC will not be able to meet the goal of Resolution C-16-05 of EPO assessments of silky and hammerhead sharks.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	Data collected under a long-term monitoring program will allow for development of stock status indicators and conventional assessments of key shark species, such as silky and hammerhead sharks	
<b>Duration</b>	5 years	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2019 - 2020: Establish infrastructure for long-term sampling program.</li> <li>• 2020 - 2023: collect data for estimation of species and size compositions of shark catches by Central American artisanal fisheries.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>		
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annual estimates of the species and size composition of shark catches in Central American artisanal fisheries.</li> <li>• A progress report on establishment of infrastructure and initial sampling to be presented at SAC-11 in 2020.</li> <li>• Preliminary and final estimates will be presented at SAC meetings in years 2021-2023.</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Scientist to process stomach contents and stable isotope samples 3 years @ US\$80,000	240,000
	Collection, transport, storage of samples	10,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>250,000</b>

<b>PROJECT D.2.c:</b> Pilot study of electronic monitoring (EM) of the activities and catches of Class-6 purse-seine vessels		
<b>THEME:</b> Data Collection		
<b>GOAL:</b> D. Investigate use of new technologies (pilot studies)		
<b>TARGET:</b> D.2. Electronic monitoring		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Bycatch and IDCP Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Establish what routine data EM can collect with as much accuracy as the observer, thus freeing observers to collect biological samples and/or other information necessary to improve stock assessments and stock status indicators.	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Estimating indices of relative abundance for tuna stocks based on CPUE data requires high-resolution spatial-temporal size-composition data. These data need to be collected at sea because of the low spatiotemporal resolution of port-sampling data from vessel wells that may contain fish from multiple sets.</li> <li>• Observers collect length data for certain species only, due to limited time.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved indices of relative abundance for tuna stocks will improve tuna stock assessments, and therefore management advice.</li> <li>• Stock status indicators based on length data for species that are not assessed will provide a better basis for management advice for those species.</li> <li>• Collection of other biological data to improve stock status indicators.</li> </ul>	
<b>Duration</b>	25 months (September 2018-September 2020)	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sep-Oct 2018: Solicit bids from EM companies for equipment, installation, and data archiving services.</li> <li>• Nov 2018-Jan 2019: Identify large purse-seine vessels willing to participate in the study; purchase EM equipment</li> <li>• Feb-Nov 2019: Trips with simultaneous collection of EM and observer data.</li> <li>• Dec 2019-Apr 2020: Processing of EM data</li> <li>• May-Sep 2020: Statistical comparisons, write report.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Industry and other stakeholders; scientists with experiences in other oceans	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress reports for SAC meetings (May 2019 and 2020)</li> <li>• Project report (September 2020)</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Materials, EM equipment, logistics, travel	<b>170,000</b>

## 2. LIFE-HISTORY STUDIES FOR SCIENTIFIC SUPPORT OF MANAGEMENT

### **Goal E: Obtain life history and stock structure information for spatially-structured stock assessments for tropical tunas**

- E.1. Initiate a long-term age and growth data collection and research program for tropical tunas
- E.2. Conduct spatiotemporal research on the reproductive biology of tropical tunas
  - E.2.a. **(PROPOSAL)** Investigate spatiotemporal variability in the age, growth, maturity, and fecundity of yellowfin tuna in the EPO
- E.3. Analyze historical tagging data to improve the assumptions about movement and stock structure in spatially-structured stock assessments of tropical tunas
- E.4. Initiate a multi-year tagging program for tropical tunas
  - E.4.a. **(PROPOSAL)** Multi-year tuna tagging study
- E.5. Conduct genetic studies to improve the assumptions about life history and stock structure in stock assessments of tropical tunas
  - E.5.c. **(PROPOSAL)** Investigate the population structure of skipjack and yellowfin tunas in the EPO, using genetic analyses

### **Goal F: Obtain key life history information for assessment and mitigation of ecological impacts on prioritized species**

- F.1. Conduct life-history studies of dolphins under the AIDCP
- F.2. Conduct life-history studies of shark species
  - F.2.a. Investigate the movements, behavior, and habitat utilization of silky sharks in the EPO
- F.3. Conduct life-history studies of prioritized species

### **Goal G: Investigate the early life history of tunas to improve understanding of recruitment processes to improve assessments and management**

- G.1. Investigate the effects of density dependence and the environment on the pre-recruit survival of yellowfin tuna
- G.2. Conduct comparative studies of the early life histories of yellowfin and Pacific bluefin tunas
- G.3. Develop tools to forecast recruitment

<b>PROJECT E.2.a:</b> Investigate spatiotemporal variability in the age, growth, maturity, and fecundity of yellowfin tuna in the EPO		
<b>THEME:</b> Life-history studies for scientific support of management <b>GOAL:</b> E. Life history, behavior, and stock structure of tropical tunas <b>TARGET:</b> E.2. Reproductive biology of tropical tunas <b>EXECUTION:</b> Biology and Ecosystem Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Estimate age, growth, maturity, and fecundity of yellowfin from four distinct areas of the eastern Pacific for use in spatially-structured stock assessment models	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current estimates of age, growth, maturity, and fecundity of yellowfin are based on otolith and ovarian tissue samples collected over 30 years ago.</li> <li>• During 2009-2016 observers collected otolith and ovarian tissues samples at sea throughout the EPO</li> <li>• Tagging and morphometrics data indicate there are multiple stocks of yellowfin in the EPO, probably with different life history characteristics</li> <li>• Heavily-exploited fish stocks often show trends towards earlier maturation</li> <li>• Spatially-structured stock assessments should incorporate geographically-explicit life history parameters</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	Spatially-structured stock assessments based on geographically-explicit life history parameters will provide a more accurate basis for the staff's management advice	
<b>Duration</b>	4 years; initiated in 2017	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2017-2019: Preparation and reading of otolith samples for age estimates</li> <li>• 2018-2019: Preparation and reading of ovarian tissues for fecundity estimates</li> <li>• 2019-2020: Analyses of age and growth and reproductive biology data, and preparation of manuscripts</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	None	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation for SAC-10</li> <li>• Updated, geographically-explicit life-history parameters for use in spatially-structured stock assessments</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Scientific technician (1 year)	<b>60,000</b>

<b>PROJECT E.4.a: Multi-year tuna tagging study</b>	
<b>THEME:</b> Life-history studies for scientific support of management	
<b>GOAL:</b> E. Life history, behavior, and stock structure of tropical tunas	
<b>TARGET:</b> E.4. Initiate a multi-year tagging program for tropical tunas	
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Biology and Ecosystem Program	
<b>Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Obtain data that will contribute to, and reduce uncertainty in, EPO tuna stock assessments, particularly for skipjack tuna;</li> <li>• Obtain information on the rates of movement, dispersion, and mixing of skipjack, yellowfin, and bigeye tunas in the EPO, and between this region and other adjacent regions of the Pacific basin; and</li> <li>• Obtain estimates of sex-specific growth, mortality, abundance, selectivity, and exploitation rates for those species of tuna in the EPO</li> </ul>
	This project is described in detail in Appendix 2 of Document <a href="#">CAF-05-04</a> , prepared for the meeting of the Committee on Administration and Finance in July 2017
<b>Duration</b>	3 years (2019-2021)
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	<b>7,300,000</b>

<b>PROJECT E.5.c:</b> Investigate the population structure of skipjack and yellowfin tunas in the EPO, using genetic analyses		
<b>THEME:</b> Life-history studies for scientific support of management <b>GOAL:</b> E. Life history, behavior, and stock structure of tropical tunas <b>TARGET:</b> E.5. Genetic studies on stock structure <b>EXECUTION:</b> Biology and Ecosystem Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Collect and analyze skipjack and yellowfin tuna tissue samples from three discrete areas of the EPO, to determine whether significant genetic heterogeneity is present	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Although large scale tagging experiments provide the best information for defining stock structure and mixing rates among stocks for assessment purposes, it is beneficial to utilize other complimentary methodologies, particularly genetics, to evaluate and/or corroborate the results from tagging experiments</li> <li>• Historical investigations of the genetic population structure of tropical tunas in the Pacific and elsewhere using protein electrophoresis, mitochondrial DNA, and DNA microsatellite loci, lacked sufficient resolution to assess genetic heterogeneity among discrete locations including between ocean basins, but now there are more powerful methods for analyzing genetic discrimination</li> <li>• Future stock assessments for skipjack and yellowfin tunas should be spatially structured and based on current understanding of stock structure for those species in the EPO from tagging experiments and genetic discrimination</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	Management advice for skipjack and yellowfin tunas in the EPO should recognize there are multiple stocks for those species in the EPO with different population dynamics that are experiencing different levels of exploitation	
<b>Duration</b>	2018-2020	
<b>Workplan and progress report (for ongoing projects)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IATTC observers aboard purse-seine vessels collect 100 white muscle tissue samples from skipjack and yellowfin tunas in each of three areas in the EPO (North of 15°N, 5°N to 5°S, South of 10°S).</li> <li>• Process samples at CSIRO to extract and sequence DNA</li> <li>• Analyze the resulting genetic data, using high resolution analytic software specifically designed for evaluating genetic heterogeneity in population structure of SNP data</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	CSIRO, Hobart, Australia	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relevant scientific information on putative genetic population structure for skipjack and yellowfin tunas in the EPO for informing future stock assessments</li> <li>• Manuscripts for publication in scientific journals</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	<b>Total</b> as co-financing to CSIRO	<b>50,000</b>

### 3. SUSTAINABLE FISHERIES

#### **Goal H: Improve and implement stock assessments, based on the best available science**

- H.1. Undertake the research necessary to develop and conduct at least one benchmark stock assessment for yellowfin and bigeye tunas
  - H.1.c. **(PROPOSAL)** Investigate potential changes in the selectivity of the longline fleet resulting from changes in gear configuration
  - H.1.d. **(PROPOSAL)** Improve indices of abundance based on longline CPUE data
- H.2. Develop a spatially-structured stock assessment model for bigeye tuna as a basis for management advice, and initiate a similar model for yellowfin tunas
- H.3. Develop a benchmark stock assessment for skipjack tuna (conditional on implementation of tagging program)
- H.4. Develop update assessment and/or stock status indicators for tropical tunas to ensure that management advice is current
  - H.4.a. Conduct routine stock assessments of tropical tunas
- H.5. Undertake the research necessary to develop and conduct data-limited assessments for prioritized species
  - H.5.b. **(PROPOSAL)** Workshop series on data compilation and assessment model development for hammerhead sharks
- H.6. Maintain active participation in ISC stock assessments
- H.7. Develop conventional stock assessments for data-rich prioritized species and species of specific interest
  - H.7.c. **(PROPOSAL)** Develop priors for shark stock-recruitment relationships
- H.8. Assess the status of dolphin stocks in the eastern tropical Pacific

#### **Goal I: Test harvest strategies using Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE)**

- I.1. Conduct a comprehensive MSE for bigeye tuna and plan MSEs for the other tropical tuna species, including the multi-species fishery for tropical tunas
- I.2. Collaborate with ISC in Pacific-wide MSEs for albacore and Pacific bluefin tunas
- I.3. Initiate MSE work to evaluate indicator-based harvest strategies for prioritized species and species of specific interest

#### **Goal J: Improve our understanding of the effects of the operational characteristics of the fishery on fishing mortality, stock assessments, and management advice**

- J.1. Identify and monitor changes in technology and fishing strategies to improve stock assessments and management advice
- J.2. Improve our understanding of the relationship between the operational characteristics of the purse-seine fishery and fishing mortality
- J.3. Study the impact of FAD operations on fishing mortality to improve FAD management advice

#### **Goal K: Improve our understanding of the socio-economic aspects of sustainable fisheries for tropical tunas**

- K.1. Collaborate in socio-economic studies by other organizations

<b>PROJECT H.1.c:</b> Investigate potential changes in the selectivity of the longline fleet resulting from changes in gear configuration		
<b>THEME:</b> Sustainable fisheries		
<b>FOCUSGOAL:</b> H. Research and development of stock assessment models and their assumptions		
<b>TARGET:</b> H.1. Improve routine tropical tuna assessments		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Evaluate potential changes in targeting on the size composition of the longline catches of bigeye and yellowfin	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current yellowfin stock assessment shows a pattern of residuals for the recent longline length-composition data</li> <li>• Analyses of operational-level longline data from the Japanese fleet have identified possible changes in targeting that may affect the indices of relative abundance and size composition of the catch</li> <li>• The changes in targeting appear to be related to changes in longline gear configuration.</li> <li>• The effect on catch rates and species composition is being investigated in related collaborative research between the IATTC staff and NRIFSF, Japan</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	Currently, the longline indices are the main information in the stock assessments of yellowfin and bigeye, therefore unaccounted-for changes in the longline selectivity may compromise management advice	
<b>Duration</b>	12 months	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Month 1: match set-by-set gear characteristics and catch data with the size-composition data from the Japanese fleet</li> <li>• Months 2-3: analysis of the set-by-set data</li> <li>• Months 5-11: Apply the lessons learnt from the set-by-set data to the aggregated level data used in the stock assessment</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	NRIFSF, Japan	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation for SAC-10, 2019</li> <li>• Procedure to be used in the next full assessment of yellowfin</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Travel	<b>10,000</b>

<b>PROJECT H.1.d: Improve indices of abundance based on longline CPUE data</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Sustainable fisheries		
<b>GOAL:</b> H. Research and development of stock assessment models and their assumptions		
<b>TARGET:</b> H.1. Improve routine tropical tuna assessments		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve the yellowfin and bigeye indices of relative abundance from longline data</li> <li>• Determine methods to identify targeting in longline fisheries</li> <li>• Develop spatio-temporal models for creating indices of relative abundance from longline data</li> <li>• Develop appropriate longline length composition data for the index of abundance and for the catch</li> </ul>	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Indices of relative abundance derived for longline CPUE data are the most important piece of information in the bigeye and yellowfin stock assessments</li> <li>• Only the Japanese data are currently used to create these indices</li> <li>• The characteristics, tactics, and spatial distribution of the fishery have been changing over time</li> <li>• The same length composition data is used for the index and for the catch, but these could differ</li> <li>• New methods, such as spatio-temporal modelling, have been developed and should be used in the creation of the indices</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	The indices have direct impact on the stock assessment and any improvements in the indices will directly improve the management advice for bigeye and yellowfin	
<b>Duration</b>	18 months, starting June 2018	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• June-Dec 2018: Evaluate the data available in the IATTC database and implement the spatio-temporal models</li> <li>• Jan-Feb 2019: Hold a one-week workshop to discuss approaches to resolve issues in using the longline CPUE data</li> <li>• May-June 2019: Hold a two-week working group to analyse the data</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NRIFSF, Japan</li> <li>• Invited speakers</li> </ul>	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Workshop report</li> <li>• Working group report</li> <li>• Indices of relative abundance</li> <li>• Project report to SAC</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Postdoctoral researcher	223,000
	Workshop expenses and invited participant travel costs	50,000
	Working group expenses	50,000
	Computer equipment	20,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>343,000</b>

<b>Project H.5.b:</b> Workshop series on data compilation and assessment model development for hammerhead assessments		
<b>THEME:</b> Sustainable fisheries		
<b>GOAL:</b> H. Research and development of stock assessment models and their assumptions		
<b>TARGET:</b> H.5. Research to develop and conduct data-limited assessments for prioritized species		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To bring together shark scientists, fisheries organization and industry representatives with EPO data on hammerhead shark species in a series of technical workshops to:</li> <li>• Collate data and prepare assessment databases;</li> <li>• Develop assessment model structure.</li> </ul>	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prior to the silky shark assessment in 2013, the IATTC arranged a series of technical workshops on data preparation/collation and assessment model development.</li> <li>• This workshop series was critical for the identification and preparation of all data types required in assessment because some data sources, e.g., for biological parameters, were not be available in the primary scientific literature.</li> <li>• The quality of the management advice that will be produced by the hammerhead shark assessments in 2023 is highly dependent on identification of all available data sources necessary for assessment modeling.</li> <li>• Previous work by IATTC staff to identify fisheries data gaps and compile available fisheries information for sharks in 2016 will serve as a starting point for this workshop series.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	The results of the hammerhead assessment will be key in the development of improved management plans for sharks in the EPO.	
<b>Duration</b>	18 months	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Spring 2020: plan workshop series.</li> <li>• Fall 2020: First workshop to identify all sources of data relevant to the assessment and plan a timeline for data compilation.</li> <li>• Fall 2021: Second technical workshop to review progress on data compilation and database creation.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Numerous individuals from scientific institutions, fisheries organizations and industry.	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Workshop reports</li> <li>• Final report describing technical findings.</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Workshop expenses and travel cost for participants	<b>100,000</b>

<b>Project H.7.c: Develop priors for shark stock-recruitment relationships</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Sustainable fisheries		
<b>GOAL:</b> H. Research and development of stock assessment models and their assumptions		
<b>TARGET:</b> H.7. Develop conventional stock assessments for data-rich prioritized species		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
• Objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assemble the available information from theory and data about density dependence in the stock-recruitment relationship for low fecund species</li> <li>• Develop priors for shark stock-recruitment relationships</li> </ul>	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sharks and a major conservation concern in the EPO and world wide</li> <li>• Stock assessment have been developed for several species and are planned for many more</li> <li>• The IATTC has conducted its own assessments and collaborates with assessments conducted by the ISC</li> <li>• One of the main uncertainties in shark stock assessments is the stock-recruitment relationship</li> <li>• A stock assessment relationship that is based on density dependent survival has been developed for low fecund species and is applicable to sharks</li> <li>• The low fecund stock-recruitment relationship has been implemented in Stock Synthesis, the general stock assessment program that is used for several shark stock assessments</li> <li>• The low fecund stock-recruitment relationship has one more parameter than the traditionally used stock-recruitment relationship and it is difficult to estimate all three parameters in most, if not all, applications.</li> <li>• Prior information on the stock-recruitment parameters are needed.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The stock-recruitment relationship is a main determinant of management reference points</li> <li>• Better understanding of the stock-recruitment relationship will improve assessments and management of sharks</li> </ul>	
<b>Duration</b>	24 months, starting January 2019	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Jan-June 2019: Assemble and review all relevant information on the theory of density dependent recruitment for low fecund species</li> <li>• July-Dec 2019: Assemble and review all relevant data on density dependent recruitment for low fecund species</li> <li>• Jan-June 2020: Assemble and review all relevant information on the theory and data of density dependent recruitment in sharks</li> <li>• July-Dec 2020: Assimilate all the information to determine priors for the low fecundity stock-recruitment relationship with respect to sharks.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	ISC	
<b>Deliverables</b>	Project report to SAC	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Post-doctoral researcher, 2 years @ US\$104,000	208,000
	Relocation costs	5,000
	Travel	10,000
	Computer equipment	10,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>233,000</b>

#### 4. ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF FISHERIES: ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION

##### **Goal L: Evaluate the ecological impacts of tuna fisheries**

- L.1. Develop analytical tools to identify and prioritize species at risk for data collection, research and management
- L.2. Conduct ERAs of EPO fisheries to identify and prioritize species at risk

##### **Goal M: Mitigate the ecological impacts of tuna fisheries**

- M.1. In collaboration with the industry, conduct scientific experiments to identify gear technology that will reduce bycatches and mortality of prioritized species
  - M.1.b. (**PROPOSAL**) Test hookpods to reduce seabird and sea turtle bycatches in longlines
- M.2. In collaboration with the industry, conduct scientific experiments to develop best practices for the release of prioritized bycatch species
- M.3. Conduct spatiotemporal analyses to identify areas of high bycatch/catch ratios for potential use in spatial management
  - M.3.a. (**PROPOSAL**) Estimate bycatch and discard rates at FADs, by species, and identify “hot spots”
- M.4. Investigate alternative tools for bycatch mitigation
- M.5. In collaboration with the industry, conduct experiments to develop best practices for mitigating the impacts of fishing on habitats in the EPO
  - M.5.b. (**PROPOSAL**) Reducing losses, and fostering recovery, of FADs in the purse-seine fishery in the EPO
  - M.5.c. (**PROPOSAL**) Evaluate and reduce post-release mortality of Mobulid rays

<b>PROJECT M.1.b: Test hookpods to reduce seabird and sea turtle bycatches in longlines</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Ecological impacts of fisheries: assessment and mitigation		
<b>GOAL:</b> N. Mitigating ecological impacts		
<b>TARGET:</b> N.1. Investigate gear technology to reduce bycatch and bycatch mortality		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Bycatch and IDCP Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	To reduce seabird and sea turtle bycatches in longline fisheries for tunas and other species covered by the Antigua Convention.	
<b>Rationale and Relevance for management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In some regions, endangered sea birds and sea turtles are caught in longlines when they try to steal the bait from the hooks being deployed by a longliner.</li> <li>• Hookpods are devices that cover the hooks, and open up only at a predetermined depth. They have been very successful at reducing seabird bycatches. By opening the pods at a depth of 20m we may be also able to reduce sea turtle bycatches.</li> <li>• This research will enable to inform alternative recommendations for managing bycatches in longlines.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	If successful, the use of hookpods will significantly contribute in the mitigation of incidental catches of birds and sea turtles in the longline fishery.	
• Work plan and status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• August – October 2018: Identify longline vessels willing to cooperate in an experiment.</li> <li>• November 2018 – May 2019: In a pilot study, deploy hookpods following an alternating design in portions of the longlines to compare catch and bycatch rates.</li> <li>• June – August 2019: Perform statistical comparisons of catch and bycatch rates.</li> <li>• September – November 2019: Study the feasibility of their use by the fleets, and the impacts they may have on the fishing operations.</li> </ul>	
<b>Duration</b>	16 months	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Observer program implemented by TUNACONS collects the data	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• May 2019: IATTC SAC documents.</li> <li>• November 2019: Project report.</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Hookpods: 1,500 @ US\$7	10,500
	Data processing, statistical analysis	20,000
	Travel, shipping	10,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>40,500</b>

<b>PROJECT M.3.a: Estimate bycatch and discard rates at FADs, by species, and identify “hot spots”</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Ecological impacts of fisheries: assessment and mitigation		
<b>GOAL:</b> M. Mitigating ecological impacts		
<b>TARGET:</b> M.3. Spatiotemporal studies to determine areas of high bycatch/catch ratios		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Bycatch and IDCP Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Sub-regional study on discard and bycatch rates and species composition at FADs sets and identification of hot spots.	
<b>Rationale and Relevance for management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides area-specific information on the potential impacts of FADs on by-catch species.</li> <li>• Provides a scientific basis for spatial management approaches.</li> <li>• This research will enable the development of alternative recommendations for managing tropical tunas in the EPO and provide the commission with additional tools when developing management measures.</li> </ul>	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed work program will study the spatial and temporal distribution of bycatch rates and bycatch to catch ratios.</li> <li>• Statistical analysis to identify hotspots, and habitat use, of the different by-catch species and the spatial-temporal distribution of large densities of by-catch (catch rates). Identify data gaps for by-catch data collection and provide advice on potential areas of additional data collection to improve future analyses.</li> </ul>	
<b>Duration</b>	6 months	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Full-time researcher (6 months)	52,000
	Travel	10,000
	Equipment (laptop, office supplies, etc)	3,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>65,000</b>

<b>PROJECT M.5.b Reducing losses, and fostering recovery, of FADs in the purse-seine fishery in the EPO</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Ecological impacts of fisheries: assessment and mitigation		
<b>GOAL:</b> M. Mitigating ecological impacts		
<b>TARGET:</b> M.5. Develop best practices to mitigate anthropogenic impacts on EPO habitats		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Bycatch and IDCP Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Identify the key issues to prevent the loss or to recover FADs and propose a plan to mitigate the impacts	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The members of the IATTC have expressed interest in reducing the number of lost FADs at sea, and the strandings in areas of ecological or touristic value, by promoting their recovery, and to minimize their ecological impacts: creation of marine debris, ghost fishing, strandings in sensitive habitats.</li> <li>• If losses or strandings cannot be prevented, alternatives to implement recovery programs should be considered.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Antigua Convention strive for implementing the standards of the Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries adopted by the UN's FAO which includes, inter alia, the promotion of use of selective and environmentally safe fishing gear and practices, and the conservation of aquatic ecosystems.</li> <li>• Habitat destruction and its effect on fisheries by derelict fishing gear has been identified as a detrimental consequence of discarded fishing gear.</li> </ul>	
<b>Duration</b>	1 year	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification of possible stranding sites affected by lost FOs associated with the fishery for tunas.</li> <li>• Attend a 2-day workshop convened by ISSF that will focus on FAD research in general.</li> <li>• Conduct surveys with fishing entities and operators from the region, and from the western and central Pacific areas, to estimate the degree of lost gear, and the predominant locations, periods.</li> <li>• Conduct surveys with possible stakeholders affected in coastal areas to assess the level of impact.</li> <li>• Identify the feasibility to use drift models to identify possible areas of impact of abandoned/lost FADs.</li> <li>• Conduct a two-day seminar with relevant stake holders, to identify possible options for mitigation, retrieval, and/or clean-up of areas impacted by abandoned/lost FADs.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	An oceanographer to model movements of FADs based on observer data, and drift models to predict impacted areas.	
<b>Deliverables</b>	December 2018: Report for IATTC staff review.	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Oceanographer 3 months	26,000
	Travel of oceanographer to identify the model to use and the characteristics of the data	5,000
	Cost of surveys	5,000
	Cost of regional workshop	40,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>76,000</b>

<b>PROJECT M.5.c: Evaluate and reduce post-release mortality of Mobulid rays</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Ecological impacts of fisheries: assessment and mitigation		
<b>GOAL:</b> M. Mitigate ecological impacts		
<b>TARGET:</b> M.5. In collaboration with industry, conduct experiments to develop best practices to mitigate fisheries impacts on EPO habitats		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Bycatch and IDCP Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quantify post-release mortality of Mobulid rays and the factors influencing their survival.</li> <li>Reduce post-release mortality by creating science-based handling and release guidelines.</li> <li>Improve species identification of Mobulid rays using genetic methods.</li> </ul>	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mobulid populations are experiencing steep declines in many regions including the tropical eastern Pacific, and bycatch is a significant threat.</li> <li>Post-release mortality of Mobulid rays fisheries is currently considered 100%; available data from other regions suggest lower, species-specific mortality rates.</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>		
<b>Duration</b>	36 months	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Train IATTC observers to deploy survivorship satellite tags and collect tissue samples and relevant biological data from Mobulid rays.</li> <li>Deploy survivorship tags and collect tissue samples.</li> <li>Work with captains that are using cargo nets, stretchers, and ramps to quantify mortality rates using these release methods</li> <li>Compare genetic identification to observer-reported species ID to evaluate identification quality, misreporting rates for bycatch models, and training needs.</li> <li>Quantify effects of handling and release methods, species, and environmental covariates on Mobulid post-release mortality.</li> <li>Develop handling and release guidelines that can be disseminated to the fleets.</li> <li>Use movement data generated by survivorship and archival tags to identify Mobulid hotspots independent of fisheries data to assess spatial bycatch risk.</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Univ. California Santa Cruz, Monterey Bay Aquarium, Scripps Institution of Oceanography	
<b>Deliverables</b>		
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Survivorship Satellite Tags 100 @ US\$2,000	200,000
	Archival Satellite Tags 50 @ US\$4,000	200,000
Anticipated co-funding from: Monterey Bay Aquarium, Save Our Seas Foundation	Satellite fees	5,000
	Tagging kits 50 @ US\$50	2,500
	Observer tagging rewards 150 @ US\$100	15,000
	Miscellaneous tag costs (e.g. shipping, deployment tips)	7,000
	Travel for training workshops 2 @ US\$5,000	10,000
	Genetic sample processing 750 @ US\$30	22,500
	Observer sampling rewards 750 @ US\$20	15,000
	Sample shipping	1,000
	Graduate student support 2yrs @ US\$15,000	30,000
	Miscellaneous genetic costs (e.g. reagents, lab equip.)	5,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>513,000</b>

## 5. INTERACTIONS AMONG THE ENVIRONMENT, THE ECOSYSTEM, AND FISHERIES

### **Goal N: Improve our understanding of the interactions among environmental drivers, climate, and fisheries**

- N.1. Conduct spatiotemporal analyses to better understand the effect of key environmental drivers on the short-term fluctuations of abundance of tunas and prioritized bycatch species
- N.2. Conduct spatiotemporal analyses to better understand the effect of long-term climate drivers (regime shifts) on the abundance of tropical tunas

### **Goal O: Improve our understanding of the EPO ecosystem**

- O.1. Conduct trophodynamic studies for defining key assumptions in EPO ecosystem models
  - O.1.a. **(PROPOSAL)** Develop a fishery-dependent ecological sampling program for EPO tuna fisheries
- O.2. Improve analytical tools to evaluate anthropogenic and climate impacts on the EPO ecosystem
  - O.2.c. **(PROPOSAL)** Investigate the effects of pollutants on pre-recruit survival of yellowfin tuna

<b>PROJECT O.1.a: Develop a fishery-dependent ecological sampling program for EPO tuna fisheries</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Interactions among the environment, the ecosystem. and fisheries		
<b>GOAL:</b> O. Improve understanding of the EPO ecosystem		
<b>TARGET:</b> O.1. Conduct trophodynamic studies for defining key assumptions in EPO ecosystem models		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Biology and Ecosystem Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a comprehensive ecological monitoring program for species impacted by EPO fisheries to improve our understanding of the potential ecological effects of fishing and climate change.</li> <li>• Use collected data to develop ecological indices and parameterize ecological risk assessment and ecosystem models for supporting EBFM.</li> </ul>	
<b>Background</b>	Studies on trophic ecology, using stomach contents, stable isotopes and fatty acids, are essential for parameterizing ecosystem models and for developing ecological indices to assess the ecological impacts of fishing. Mid-trophic forage species for example form critical trophic linkages from the bottom to the top of the food web, but are poorly understood, therefore limiting overall efficacy of forecasting changes in ecosystem structure under fishing and/or climate change scenarios.	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	Accurate depictions of trophic connections are the foundation of ecosystem models that represent and quantify the complexity of ecological interactions among species or functional groups. Improving our understanding of the trophodynamics of the pelagic EPO by undertaking comprehensive trophic ecology studies for populating ecosystem models provides an important step towards evaluating ecological sustainability under the Antigua Convention.	
<b>Duration</b>	5+ years	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Late 2018: identify species and tasks, develop proposal</li> <li>• 2019: develop external collaborations for collecting and analysing samples (share research proposal), research logistics (e.g. cost, storage, supplies, etc.), and design sampling protocol</li> <li>• 2020: implement sampling protocol; develop database to house sampling information; begin stomach contents identification</li> <li>• 2021: continue sampling, analysis, and database development</li> <li>• 2022: continue sampling, analysis, and database development</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	CPCs, fishers, universities, government agencies, etc.	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of an ecological sampling program and a</li> <li>• comprehensive biological database</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>250,000</b>

<b>PROJECT O.2.c: Investigate the effects of pollutants on pre-recruit survival of yellowfin tuna</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Interactions among the environment, the ecosystem. and fisheries		
<b>GOAL:</b> O. Improving our understanding of the EPO ecosystem		
<b>TARGET:</b> O.2. Undertake assessments to evaluate anthropogenic and climate impacts on the EPO ecosystem		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Biology and Ecosystem Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Describe and estimate the levels of common pollutants occurring in early life stages of yellowfin tuna, and address the question of whether pollutant loads are transferred between yellowfin adults and progeny	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigations of pollutant levels in tropical tunas have focused mostly on mercury levels, and the few studies of other common pollutants in tunas have focused on the effects of pollutants on human health after consumption of tuna</li> <li>• There is a lack of information on the levels of common persistent organic pollutants, such as pesticides and PCB's, occurring in tropical tunas and whether those pollutant loads are transferred to eggs, larvae and early-juveniles and are prevalent enough to influence mortality</li> <li>• The Achotines Laboratory provides a center for investigations of pollutant levels occurring in tropical yellowfin tuna and estimates of pollutant loads in eggs, larvae, early-juveniles and adult fish</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	The ability to estimate the levels of common pollutants in early life stages of tropical tunas provides key information on potentially lethal or sub-lethal effects of pollution on tuna populations, and these investigations are expandable to examine potential regional differences in pollution effects on tuna populations	
<b>Duration</b>	21 months	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no work plan currently in place for this project</li> <li>• April 2018-September 2018: Planning discussions will continue to develop a research plan for the project</li> <li>• October 2018-December 2019: Sampling can be conducted at the Achotines Laboratory, samples analysed at Scripps Institution of Oceanography, and a manuscript completed</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Scripps Institution of Oceanography	
<b>Deliverables</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentations for SAC09, SAC10 and SAC11</li> <li>• Publication of results in a scientific journal</li> </ul>	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>75,000</b>

## 6. KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER AND CAPACITY BUILDING

### **Goal P. Respond in a timely manner to external requests for information and technical support**

- P.1. Respond to requests by CPCs
- P.2. Respond to requests from other organizations

### **Goal Q. Provide training opportunities for scientists and technicians of CPCs**

- Q.1. Host visiting scientists and students from CPCs
- Q.2. Implement the IATTC capacity-building scholarship
- Q.3. Facilitate training workshops

### **Goal R: Improve communication of scientific advice**

- R.1. Improve communication of the staff's scientific work to CPCs
- R.2. Participate in global initiatives for the communication of science

### **Goal S: Facilitate participation of CPCs in the scientific process and in training events**

- S.1. Improve communication and coordination with the Scientific Advisory Committee and scientific and technical working groups
- S.2. Facilitate participation of scientific and technical personnel from developing CPCs at IATTC scientific meetings and training events (IATTC capacity building fund)

## 7. SCIENTIFIC EXCELLENCE

### **Goal T. Implement external reviews of the staff's research**

- T.1. Facilitate external reviews of stock assessments
  - T.1.a. (**PROPOSAL**) External review of bigeye tuna assessment
- T.2. Facilitate external reviews of scientific studies

### **Goal U. Strengthen research at the Ashotines Laboratory**

### **Goal V. Recruit and retain highly-qualified personnel**

### **Goal W. Promote training and advancement of scientific staff**

### **Goal X. Promote the advancement of scientific research**

- X.1. Continue the annual CAPAM workshops
  - X.1.b. (**PROPOSAL**) Workshop on operating models for management strategy evaluation

<b>PROJECT T.1.a: External review of bigeye tuna assessment</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Scientific Excellence		
<b>GOAL:</b> T. Implement external reviews of the staff's research		
<b>TARGET:</b> T.1. Facilitate external reviews of stock assessments		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review the assessment model used for bigeye tuna</li> <li>• Improve the assumptions made in the assessment</li> </ul>	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The bigeye tuna stock assessment was last independently reviewed in 2010</li> <li>• Several issues have been identified in the stock assessment</li> <li>• The CAPAM workshop series has identified several modelling good practices that should be incorporated into the bigeye tuna assessment</li> <li>• Major improvements to the stock assessment are underway, including modelling of spatial structure</li> <li>• Review of the assessment is important to get external input into improving the assessment</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The results of the bigeye assessment are used for management advice</li> <li>• Improvements in the stock assessment will improve the management advice</li> </ul>	
<b>Duration</b>	The project will extend over 2019, but the workshop will be a single week in Fall	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Early 2019 identify review panel</li> <li>• Mid 2019 prepare documents describing major developments in the model</li> <li>• Fall 2019 Hold workshop</li> <li>• Fall 2019 Write workshop report</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Independent reviewers	
<b>Deliverables</b>	Workshop report	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Workshop expenses and invited participant travel costs	50,000
	Honorariums for 4 invited experts @ US\$10,000	40,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>90,000</b>

<b>Project X.1.b: Workshop on operating models for management strategy evaluation</b>		
<b>THEME:</b> Scientific Excellence		
<b>GOAL:</b> X Promote advancement of scientific research		
<b>TARGET:</b> X.1 Continue the CAPAM workshops		
<b>EXECUTION:</b> Stock Assessment Program		
<b>Objectives</b>	Improve the operating models used for management strategy evaluation (MSE)	
<b>Background</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating models are used in MSE to evaluate the performance of alternative harvest strategies</li> <li>• Operating models are typically, but not necessarily, based on stock assessment models, but often include more sources of uncertainty</li> <li>• Appropriate operating models need to be used otherwise the MSE will be biased</li> <li>• Methods to appropriately represent uncertainty need to be further developed</li> <li>• MSE is currently being developed for bigeye, albacore, and bluefin tunas and planned for other species</li> </ul>	
<b>Relevance for management</b>	MSE will be used to select harvest strategies for multiple species	
<b>Duration</b>	18 months	
<b>Work plan and status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Winter 2019 – invite keynote speakers</li> <li>• Winter 2019 – prepare background material</li> <li>• Summer 2019 – Conduct workshop</li> <li>• Fall 2019 – Write workshop report</li> <li>• May 2020 – report to SAC</li> </ul>	
<b>External collaborators</b>	Invited speakers	
<b>Deliverables</b>	Workshop report	
<b>Budget (US\$)</b>	Workshop expenses and invited participant travel costs	<b>50,000</b>

## **Appendix 1.**

The work of the IATTC staff is divided into four programs: Stock Assessment; Biology and Ecosystem; Data Collection and Database; Bycatch and International Dolphin Conservation Program (IDCP).

The principal responsibilities of these programs are as follows:

### **Stock Assessment**

- Determine whether tuna stocks in the eastern Pacific Ocean are fully fished or overfished, and whether increases in fishing capacity and/or fishing effort would threaten their conservation;
- Evaluate measures to prevent or eliminate overfishing and excess fishing capacity and to ensure that fishing effort is compatible with the sustainable use of the fish stocks covered by the IATTC Convention;
- Evaluate measures to ensure the long-term conservation and sustainable use of the fish stocks covered by the IATTC Convention and to maintain or restore the harvested species at levels of abundance that will produce the maximum sustainable yield.
- In collaboration with Scripps Institution of Oceanography and the US National Marine Fisheries Service, the IATTC founded the Center for the Advancement of Population Assessment Methodology (<http://www.capamresearch.org/>) to conduct research on fisheries stock assessment.

### **Biology and Ecosystem**

- Carry out scientific research on the abundance, biology and biometry of fish stocks covered by the IATTC Convention and of associated or dependent species, and the effects of natural factors and human activities;
- In coordination with the bycatch program, develop conservation and management measures for species belonging to the same ecosystem that are affected by fishing for, or dependent on or associated with, the fish stocks covered by the IATTC Convention, in order to maintain or restore such species above sustainable levels.

### **Data Collection and Database**

- Develop standards for the collection, verification, exchange, and reporting of data on the fisheries covered by the IATTC Convention;
- Establish a comprehensive program for data collection and monitoring;
- In coordination with the IDCP, manage the on-board scientific observer program, the data collected by observers, and the activities of the field offices;

### **Bycatch and IDCP**

- Develop measures to avoid, reduce and minimize waste, discards, catch by lost or discarded fishing gear, catch of non-target species, and impacts on associated or dependent species, in particular endangered species;
- Develop measures to avoid, reduce and minimize the incidental mortality of dolphins associated with the tuna fishery.