Pacific-wide stock assessment of bigeye tuna and sensitivity to geographical boundaries

IATTC, 7th Meeting of the Scientific Advisory Committee
La Jolla, May 9-13th 2016

Oceanic Fisheries Programme
Secretariat of the Pacific Communities
Nouméa, New Caledonia
Bigeye tuna in the Western Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO)

2014 catch: 154 601 vs. 93 532 tonnes in EPO
Main fisheries:
longline (target), purse-seine (w/ SKJ)

Current status (2014):
F_{cur}/F_{msy} = 1.57
SB/\text{SB}_0 = 0.16
External review of 2011 WCPO BET stock assessment

WCPO model: MULTIFAN-CL, length-based stock assessment
- Integrated, with multiple regions: catch/effort, size, standardized CPUE, tags

- Amongst key recommendations:
  
  Assess assumption that WCPO dynamics are not impacted by the exclusion of the EPO from the stock assessment
  
  Recommendation based on tagging data indicating considerable movement between the two regions

Release locations (black) and recoveries that were released in the west (red), central (green) and east (blue) Pacific.

From Schaefer et al. (2015).
BET 2015 Pacific-wide assessment

- Covered the entire Pacific, divided in 12 regions
- Used updated CPUE indices from new operational LL dataset
- Used extended tagging dataset:
  - IATTC and Pacific Tuna Tagging Programme (PTTP)
- Also included a 'control' assessment with the WCPO only, but using the new data

Key findings

- Stock status for the WCPO—SB/SB₀
  - 2014 WCPO: 0.16
  - 2015 WCPO: 0.15
  - 2015 Pacific-wide: 0.14

- Greater difference with the WCPO model
  i.e. CPUE indices are probably inducing difference
Comparison of 2016 IATTC model*

*assuming 50% sex-ratio
Growth

Unexpected: growth estimates equivalent between 2014 WCPO and 2015 Pacific-Wide

Faster growth thought to occur in the East
External analysis shows equivalent growth in early ages, but older fish 25cm larger (age 10+) in the EPO.
*Most tag recaptures from WCPO come from the Western Pacific (Coral Sea between PNG and Australia)*
Movement

- Greater movement of fish from WCPO to EPO than in the opposite direction
- About 70% of PW recruitment from the WCPO
Pacific-wide sensitivity analysis: conclusion

- OK to proceed as before w/ WCPO boundaries (subregional stock assessment)
- Assumption of constant growth to be further investigated
- For EPO: two different modelling approaches yield similar estimates of depletion
Future Pacific-wide approaches

- No formal stock assessment planned
- Ongoing implementation of MSE for skipjack and albacore (first), then bigeye and yellowfin
- Current status:
  - Past workshops to agree on management objectives
    - 50% SB/SB₀ for SKJ (target reference point)
    - South Pacific albacore discussions ongoing
- Expert consultation workshop in New Caledonia June 2016
- TBD Pacific-wide scenario for BET MSE
  - could be used to explore sensitivity to assumptions in growth rates