#### INTERNATIONAL DOLPHIN CONSERVATION PROGRAM

# INTERNATIONAL REVIEW PANEL 37<sup>TH</sup> MEETING

LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA (USA) 19 OCTOBER 2004

## **DOCUMENT IRP-37-13b**

## SUMMARY OF PENDING SPECIAL CASES MONITORED BY THE IRP

## 1. VESSEL 'C'- Carrying capacity less than 363 t; well volume 170 m<sup>3</sup>

This vessel was sighted with dolphins inside its net by a national program observer assigned to a vessel of another flag. The observer identified the dolphins as "delfin negro", a common name of a species not commonly involved in the fishery. This case was first brought to the attention of the IRP at its 26<sup>th</sup> meeting in January 2001. The Party of the sighted vessel was notified of the incident in February 2001; in June 2002 it notified the Secretariat that it was forced to close the case because it was not able to interview the observer concerned. At its 30<sup>th</sup> meeting in June 2002, the Panel instructed the Secretariat to send a letter to the Party of the national observer program exhorting it to cooperate in the investigation, and accordingly a letter was sent on August 23, 2002. At the time of the incident, the Secretariat had no information regarding the presence or absence of dolphin safety gear aboard the vessel.

At the request of the 35<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP, the Secretariat sent letters to both Parties on March 24, 2004. The flag Party was informed that a letter was being sent to the Party of the national observer program seeking their cooperation so that the investigation could be completed, and the Party of the national observer program was informed that the Panel may consider suspending the confidentiality of its vessel's name and flag if additional information is not provided before the 36<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP. The flag Party replied to the Secretariat on March 29, 2004 stating that it supported the attempt to seek the other Party's cooperation. The Party of the national observer program responded to the Secretariat expressing its willingness to allow the observer to be interviewed to obtain further information on the matter.

# 2. VESSEL 'F' – AIDCP vessel

The 32<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the IRP in February 2003 reviewed a 2002 trip by this vessel (no. 2002-654) and identified as a possible infraction 35 intentional dolphin sets without a 2002 DML. On February 13, 2003, prior to the Secretariat's notification to the Party of the possible infraction, the Party notified the Secretariat that the infraction had been confirmed, the vessel fishing captain had been fined US\$100,000, and his license had been suspended for five years.

The 33<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the IRP in June 2003 reviewed the vessel's next two trips, nos. 2002-756 and 2003-101. The fishing captain mentioned above was the fishing captain of trip 2002-756 which ended prior to February 13, 2003. However, during that trip there were 33 intentional dolphin sets made in 2003 without a DML, which was identified by the IRP as a possible infraction. During trip 2003-101, with a different fishing captain, two intentional dolphin sets were made prior to the effective date of the vessel's 2003 DML, assigned from the Reserve DML Allocation. The Panel identified these sets as a possible infraction and expressed its concern that the vessel could be forming a pattern of infractions. All of these findings were forwarded to the Party by the Secretariat in a letter dated August 13, 2003. On February 17, 2004, the Party informed the Secretariat that cases 2002-756 and 2003-101 are under investigation

At the 36<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP in June 2004, the Party informed the Secretariat that the cases involving this vessel are still being investigated.

## 3. VESSEL 'G' - AIDCP vessel

At the 10<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Parties in October 2003, it was decided that this vessel, which had a DML during 2003, was not eligible for a 2004 DML because it had repeatedly employed fishing captains not on the AIDCP *List of Qualified Captains* while it had a DML. However, the flag Party assigned the vessel a 2004 DML from its national DML allocation. In February 2004, the 35<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP reviewed trip no. 2003-789 by the vessel, which included fishing activity in both 2003 and 2004. The IRP identified six possible infractions for this trip: 1) one night set; 2-3) two sets with explosives use; 4) observer interference; 5) unqualified fishing captain (during 2003); and 6) fishing on dolphins without a DML (20 sets during 2004). These findings were forwarded to the Party by the Secretariat in a letter dated March 24, 2004. The 35<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP agreed that the question of whether the vessel has a valid 2004 DML would be discussed at its 36<sup>th</sup> meeting in June, 2004.

On May 12, 2004, the Party notified the Secretariat of its recent communications with vessel management regarding these possible infractions. On April 2, 2004, the Party notified management that a response to these possible infractions, except no. 6, was required by April 15. The Party sent a second notification on April 19, requiring a response to the other five possible infractions by April 23. Apparently, no response was provided by that date, and on April 27 the Party notified management that a sanction of US\$41,771 had been applied to all five infractions. The Party required payment of the fine by May 7, 2004, and advised management that non-compliance with that requirement would result in the application of other mechanisms to ensure compliance.

The 36<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP in June 2004 reviewed trip no. 2004-152 by this vessel and identified the following possible infractions: fishing on dolphins without a DML (39 sets), explosive use during 5 sets on dolphins, and 1 night set. These findings were forwarded to the Party by the Secretariat in a letter dated August 13, 2004.

The Panel referred this case to the 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Parties in June 2004 in regard to the validity of the DML allocated to the vessel by the flag Party for 2004. The Meeting of the Parties determined that the DML is invalid.

#### 4. VESSEL 'H' - AIDCP vessel

The 35<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP in February 2004 reviewed trip no. 2003-700 by this DML vessel and identified a possible infraction of an unqualified captain. The person listed as the fishing captain on the vessel's official crew list was recorded as such by the observer on his IRP form, though it was his opinion that the person recorded on the crew list as the fish spotter (Captain 'A', see Special Case no. 5) was actually in charge of the fishing operations. The case was reported to the Party by the Secretariat in a March 24, 2004 letter that included the Panel's request that the Party take the measures necessary to ensure that Captain 'A' is not put in charge of fishing operations aboard any vessel in its fleet with a DML.

The Panel forwarded this case to the 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Parties to determine if this vessel should be eligible to receive a DML in 2005, due to its employing Captain A. At that meeting the flag Party stated that this case is still under investigation and that an update would be presented at the next meeting in October, 2004. The Party also stated that none of its flag vessels would ever employ Captain A again. The Parties decided to review this matter again at the October meeting, following the update by the flag Party.

#### 5. FISHING CAPTAIN 'A'

This captain was permanently removed from the *List of Qualified Captains* in October 2001. Prior to the 31<sup>st</sup> IRP Meeting in October 2002, the Secretariat notified the Party informally that it had information indicating that this captain had recently made two fishing trips on a DML vessel. The Party replied in a letter dated October 8, 2002, that vessel management had been notified that this was a possible infraction with a monetary sanction, and that the case was under appeal. The IRP identified these two possible in-

fractions at its  $31^{st}$  meeting (trip nos. 2002-373 and 2002-486), and the Party was formally notified. To date there has been no further response to these cases.

The 32<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the IRP in February 2003 reviewed another trip by this captain on the same vessel (trip no. 2002-735) during which intentional sets on dolphins were made, and it was reported to the Party in March 2003 as a possible infraction. To date there has been no response to this case.

The 33<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the IRP in June 2003 reviewed two more trips by this captain on the same vessel, both trips with intentional dolphin sets, and they were reported to the Party as possible infractions (trip nos. 2003-028 and 2003-194). The Party replied on October 3, 2003 that those two possible infractions are under investigation, and there has been no subsequent response.

The 34<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP in October 2003 identified as a possible infraction, and forwarded to the Party, another trip by this captain with intentional dolphin sets on the same vessel (trip no. 2003-341). To date there has been no response to this case.

The 35<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP in February 2004 reviewed a trip by a vessel of a different Party involving this captain (trip no. 2003-700) described above in Special Case no. 4 (Vessel 'H').

The 36<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IRP in June 2004 reviewed a trip by vessel 'H' involving this fishing captain with intentional sets on dolphins (trip no. 2004-013)

At the 11<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Parties, the flag government of Vessel 'H' advised the Parties that none of its flag vessels would ever employ this fishing captain again. The Parties asked the Secretariat to circulate the name of Captain 'A' to all the Parties, which was done in a memo sent on July 20, 2004.