Comisión Interamericana del Atún Tropical Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission



Purse-Seine index of abundance and length frequency for yellowfin tuna in the EPO

2nd External review of the stock assessment of yellowfin tuna in the EPO, La Jolla, California USA, 2-8 December 2019

Data

Index of abundance

Class-6 PS vessels' catch and effort data:

- Per-vessel data on catch (in metric tons) and effort (in days fishing)
- Estimating fishing effort is difficult: select vessels that made >= 75% of sets on dolphin
- Length frequency for the index of abundance Class-6 PS vessels' length frequency data:
 - 5°Lat * 5°Lon * year_month
 - Select vessels that made >= 75% of sets on dolphin
 - Length is grouped into 10cm bins from 20 cm to 190+ cm



Data

Index of abundance

Class-6 PS vessels' catch and effort data:

- Per-vessel data on catch (in metric tons) and effort (in days fishing)
- Estimating fishing effort is difficult: select vessels that made >= 75% of sets on dolphin
- Length frequency for the index of abundance Class-6 PS vessels' length frequency data:
 - 5°Lat * 5°Lon * year_month
 - Select vessels that made >= 75% of sets on dolphin
 - Length is grouped into 10cm bins from 20 cm to 190+ cm



VAST separately models encounter probability (p) and positive catch rate (λ) for each catch rate observation *i*:

$$logit(p_i) = \beta_1(t_i) + l_{\omega_1}\omega_1(s_i) + l_{\varepsilon_1}\varepsilon_1(s_i, t_i) + l_{\delta_1}\delta_1(v_i)$$

$$log(\lambda_i) = \beta_2(t_i) + l_{\omega_2}\omega_2(s_i) + l_{\varepsilon_2}\varepsilon_2(s_i, t_i) + l_{\delta_2}\delta_2(v_i)$$

 $\beta(t_i)$: intercept in year t_i $\omega(s_i)$: spatial variation at location s_i ; l_{ω} : scaling factor $\varepsilon(s_i, t_i)$: spatiotemporal variation at location s_i in year t_i ; l_{ε} : scaling factor $\delta(v_i)$: vessel effects on catchability; l_{δ} : scaling factor



Model Structure (Index of abundance)

The probability of catch data *c* for sample *i*:

$$Pr(c_i = c) = \begin{cases} 1 - p_i & \text{if } c = 0 \\ p_i \times \text{Lognormal}(c_i | \log(\lambda_i), \sigma_m^2) & \text{if } c > 0 \end{cases}$$
where $c_i = \frac{catch_i(in \ tons)}{effort_i(in \ days)}$

The standardized index is area-weighted: $I(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{200} (area(k) \times density(k, t))$





Spatiotemporal distribution of sample locations







Results





Red: standardized index with vessel effects Blue: standardized index without vessel effects Black: nominal index



- Standardized index suggests a more pessimistic population abundance trend
- Average vessel efficiency increased over time



Data

• Index of abundance Class-6 PS vessels' catch and effort data:

- Per-vessel data on catch (in metric tons) and effort (in days fishing)
- Estimating fishing effort is difficult: select vessels that made >= 75% of sets on dolphin
- Length frequency for the index of abundance Class-6 PS vessels' length frequency data:
 - 5°Lat * 5°Lon * year_month
 - Select vessels that made >= 75% of sets on dolphin
 - Length is grouped into 10cm bins from 20 cm to 190+ cm



VAST separately models encounter probability (p) and positive catch rate (λ) for each catch rate observation i:

 $logit(p_i) = \beta_1(l_i, t_i) + \sigma_{\omega_1}(l_i)\omega_1(l_i, s_i) + \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}(l_i)\varepsilon_1(l_i, s_i, t_i)$ $log(\lambda_i) = \beta_2(l_i, t_i) + \sigma_{\omega_2}(l_i)\omega_2(l_i, s_i) + \sigma_{\varepsilon_2}(l_i)\varepsilon_2(l_i, s_i, t_i)$

 $\beta(l_i, t_i)$: intercept for length l_i in year t_i

 $\omega(l_i, s_i)$: spatial variation for length l_i at location s_i ; $\sigma_{\omega}(l_i)$: scaling factor for length l_i

 $\varepsilon(l_i, s_i, t_i)$: spatiotemporal variation for length l_i at location s_i in year t_i ; $\sigma_{\varepsilon}(l_i)$: scaling factor for length l_i

*** No interaction among length bins (computationally too expensive)



Model Structure (Length composition)

The probability of catch data *c* for sample *i*:

$$Pr(c_i = c) = \begin{cases} 1 - p_i & \text{if } c = 0 \\ p_i \times \text{Lognormal}(c_i | \log(\lambda_i), \sigma_m^2) & \text{if } c > 0 \end{cases}$$
where $c_i = \frac{\text{length-specific catch}(\text{in number})}{\text{effort}_i(\text{in days})} ---$ CPUE by length (20, 30, 40, ..., 190)

The length-specific standardized index is area-weighted: $I(l,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{50} (area(k) \times density(l,k,t))$

Standardized length composition:

$$P(l,t) = \frac{I(l,t)}{\sum_{l=1}^{n_l} I(l,t)}$$



Sample distribution



Model diagnostics





Nominal vs. Standardized Length Composition



Mean YFT density by length (cm)





Mean YFT length (cm) in the fishery





Mis-match between LL and PS index of abundance







Index of abundance

- Vessel effects are included
- High CV in early years due to low sample size and low spatial coverage
- The peak is ~ 1 year after the longline peak

Length composition

- Nominal and standardized LFs are notably different (low sample size?)
- Only large bin size (10cm) is feasible in the standardization model
- A map of mean spatial catch rate by length can inform fisheries' selectivity





Questions

